



Huda Musa

Call 2021

Huda Musa prosecutes and defends in the Crown, Magistrates' and Youth Courts. She has a busy criminal practice and is regularly instructed in criminal matters on both a publicly and privately funded basis.

Huda has experience dealing with a wide range of criminal offences. These include violent offences, domestic abuse, drugs, offensive weapons, robbery, theft/fraud, and sexual offences. She is also experienced in representing clients charged with motoring offences and who are at risk of disqualification.

Huda is also regularly instructed by Transport for London (TfL) and has experience in licencing appeals, as well as defending in contested applications for Criminal Behaviour Orders and Gang Injunctions.

Huda also has experience with Habeas Corpus, having been involved in an urgent out of hours application for a writ of Habeas Corpus to the High Court of Justice against HMP Wandsworth who eventually conceded that her client had been unlawfully detained (N v Governor of HMP Wandsworth [2022] EWHC 2911 (Admin)).

Practice Areas

Defence

Huda Musa defends in the Crown, Magistrates' and Youth Courts. She has a busy criminal practice and is regularly instructed in criminal matters on both a publicly and privately funded basis.

Huda has experience dealing with a wide range of criminal offences. These include violent offences, domestic abuse, drugs, offensive weapons, robbery, theft/fraud, and sexual offences. She is also experienced in representing clients charged with motoring offences and who are at risk of disqualification.

Notable defence cases

N v Governor of HMP Wandsworth [2022] EWHC 2911 (Admin)

Successfully defended N in relation to two charges of breach of sexual notification requirements. Despite his acquittal, the prison refused to release him. However, after an urgent out of hours application for a writ of Habeas Corpus to the High Court of Justice, HMP Wandsworth eventually conceded that his detention was unlawful and released him.

R v SS

Client acquitted of domestic related intentional non-fatal strangulation and assault by beating.

R v KJ

Persuaded Court to impose an 18 month Community Order for offence of making Threats to Kill.

R v KL

Client acquitted of charges of domestic related intentional non-fatal strangulation, assault by beating, and criminal damage. The Crown was forced to offer no evidence after successful submissions excluding evidence of complainant's account.

R v RG

Persuaded Court to impose 24-month Conditional Discharge for breach of Sexual Harm Prevention Order.

R v MC

Client acquitted of Obstructing a Police Constable in the execution of his duty following a successful submission of no case to answer at half-time.

R v SP

Client acquitted of assault against an off-duty police officer after the Court found him to be acting in lawful self-defence.

R v WT

Client acquitted of possession of a bladed article after the Court found that he had a reasonable excuse for its possession.

R v DB

Successfully opposed applications for Criminal Behaviour Orders made by police on two separate occasions in contested hearings.

R v TK

Client acquitted of ABH following a two-day trial at which the Court's accepted that he was acting in lawful self-defence.

R v GD

Successfully defended client facing two charges of assault and two charges of criminal damage.

R v HC

D pleaded guilty to possession of cannabis and received an absolute discharge following mitigation.

R v SA

Client acquitted of three charges of assault after trial.

Prosecution

Huda prosecutes on behalf of the CPS in both the Crown Court and the Magistrates' Court. She is a Level 1 Panel Advocate for General Crime.

Huda is also regularly instructed by Transport for London (TfL) and has experience in licencing appeals, as well as defending in contested applications for Criminal Behaviour Orders and Gang Injunctions.

Road Traffic

Huda Musa is regularly privately instructed to defend in driving/road traffic cases, and has significant experience of defending clients accused of driving offences, including dangerous/careless driving and drink driving, and clients who are at risk of disqualification.

Notable road traffic cases

R v PO

Successful appeal to the Crown Court against client's conviction in the Magistrates' Court for of dangerous driving. Huda successfully argued that the case should be thrown out following a submission of no case to answer and legal argument that the area in question did not constitute a 'public road'.

R v EF

Successful appeal to the Crown Court against decision of Magistrates' Court that there were no special reasons not to disqualify the defendant for driving without insurance. Huda persuaded the Crown Court Judge that there were special reasons and the disqualification was lifted.

R v NN

Disqualification not imposed following a guilty plea to one charge of Drink Driving following a successful

application of special reasons.

R v JF

Client pleaded guilty to speeding at a recorded 130mph within a 70mph limit and was sentenced to 6 penalty points rather than a driving disqualification following mitigation.

R v MH

D pleaded guilty to one charge of Careless Driving which involved a collision with 3 other vehicles with two vehicles being written off. D was sentenced to 6 penalty points rather than a driving disqualification following mitigation.

R v CL

D pleaded guilty to seven speeding matters. Following a successful application of exceptional hardship, D's licence was endorsed with 25 penalty points and he was not disqualified.
